The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was not written for publication in a law journal and is not binding precedent of the Board.

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte KIYOO MORITA

OCT 0 5 2005

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS

AND INTERFERENCES

Application No. 10/020,956

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on August 10, 2005. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the Examiner. The matters requiring attention prior to docketing are identified below.

## **EXAMINER'S ANSWER**

On March 31, 2005, an Examiner's Answer was mailed. A review of the Examiner's Answer reveals that is not in compliance with the headings as set forth in the new rules under 37 CFR 41.37(c).

Application No. 10/020,956

**ARTIFACT** 

The above IFW file contains an artifact, No. 10020956CA, that is needed for review by

the BPAI. The artifact was ordered on August 22, 2005 and again on August 28, 2005, but was

never received.

Accordingly, it is

**ORDERED** that the application is returned to the examiner for:

1) vacate the Examiner's Answer dated March 31, 2005;

2) prepare a revised Examiner's Answer to include all appropriate headings in accordance

with the new rules effective September 13, 2004; and

3) secure the artifact, identified as 10/020956Z and forward to the Board of Patent

Appeals and Interferences; and

4) For such further action as may be deemed appropriate.

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

CRAIG R. FEINBERG

Program and Resource Administrator

(571)272-9797

CRF/dpv

Application No. 10/020,956

SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, DC 20037